

Adams County
LEGAL JOURNAL

Containing Opinions handed down in the 51st Judicial District and by
the Judges of that District sitting in other Districts.

VOLUME XL

Reported by
JOHN W. PHILLIPS, ESQ.
Gettysburg, Pennsylvania

Published by
ADAMS COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION
Gettysburg, Pennsylvania

Distributed by
WM. W. GAUNT & SONS, INC.
Holmes Beach, Florida

Copyright 1998

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CARD NO. 61-16583

Judges of the 51st
Judicial District
Adams County

HONORABLE OSCAR F. SPICER
HONORABLE JOHN D. KUHN
HONORABLE ROBERT G. BIGHAM

Gettysburg

TABLE OF CASES

REPORTED IN THIS VOLUME

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| The 516 Baltimore Street Inn of Gettysburg, Inc., Rohrbaugh et vir. vs. | 163 |
| Acme Engineering & Manufacturing Corporation, et al., Mummerth vs. | 139 |
| Adams Abstract Associates, et al., Himmelreich, et ux. vs. | 319 |
| Ash-Mel, Inc., et al., Hampton Plains Condominium Association vs. | 197 |
| | |
| Baldwin, Estate of | 309 |
| Batts, et al., Todt, Executrix, et al. vs. | 305 |
| Becker vs. Nestle, et al. | 14 |
| Berwick Township vs. Berwick Township Municipal Authority, et al. | 265 |
| Berwick Township Municipal Authority, et al., Berwick Township vs. | 265 |
| Board of Supervisors of Freedom Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania, Deboeser, et al. vs. | 62, 251 |
| Books vs. Books | 244 |
| Borough of Gettysburg, Cook vs. | 81 |
| Boyle, Brady vs. | 195 |
| Brady, Commonwealth vs. | 169 |
| Brady vs. Boyle | 195 |
| Brown, Smith vs. | 287 |
| | |
| Carbajal vs. W. W. Babcock Company, Inc., et al. | 201 |
| Carbaugh, et al., Fleming, et al. vs. | 23 |
| Carbaugh vs. Elicker, et al. | 47 |
| Carroll vs. Lee Carroll vs. Gift, et al. | 119 |
| Commonwealth vs. Brady | 169 |
| Commonwealth vs. Freeman | 325 |
| Commonwealth vs. Gutierrez | 191 |
| Commonwealth vs. Hardy | 281 |
| Commonwealth vs. Kennedy | 290 |
| Commonwealth vs. Mazer | 299 |
| Commonwealth vs. Monroe | 30 |
| Commonwealth vs. Placide | 69 |
| Commonwealth vs. Reese | 75 |
| Commonwealth vs. Singleton | 255 |
| Commonwealth vs. Sukeena | 275 |
| Commonwealth vs. Tess Kaelin Trucking | 259 |
| Cook vs. Borough of Gettysburg | 81 |
| Cromer, Pennsylvania Department of Transportation vs. | 11 |
| | |
| Deardorff-Landis, et al. vs. Landis | 65 |
| Deboeser, et al. vs. Board of Supervisors of Freedom Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania | 62, 251 |

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Delfloria, et ux. vs. Hoke, et al. | 123 |
| Descheemaeker vs. Lehman vs. York Springs Borough, et al. | 279 |
| Ditzler vs. Little, et al., Kiel vs, | 153, 261 |
| | |
| Elias, et al., Taylor, et ux. vs. | 89 |
| Elicker, et al., Carbaugh vs. | 47 |
| Epply Income Partners, L.P. vs. Olinger | 105 |
| Estate of Baldwin | 309 |
| | |
| Fleming, et al. vs. Carbaugh, et al. | 23 |
| Frederick, et ux. vs. Zoning Hearing Board of Conewago Township | 53 |
| Freeman, Commonwealth vs. | 325 |
| | |
| General Motors Acceptance Corporation vs. Keller | 241 |
| Gettysburg Area School District, Sites Realty, Inc. vs. | 91 |
| Gettysburg Hospital, et al., Stillwaggon vs. | 37 |
| Giardullo, et al. vs. Reilly | 95 |
| Gift, et al., Carroll vs. Lee Carroll vs. | 119 |
| Gotshall vs. Toner, et al. | 192 |
| Gutierrez, Commonwealth vs. | 191 |
| | |
| Hampton Plains Condominium Association vs. Ash-Mel, Inc., et al. | 197 |
| Hardy, Commonwealth vs. | 281 |
| Harmon, et al. vs. Smith | 72 |
| Himmelreich, et ux. vs. Adams Abstract Associates, et al. | 319 |
| Hoke, et al., Delfloria, et ux. vs. | 123 |
| Hollabaugh Brothers, Inc. vs. Walter H. Weaber Sons, Inc. | 293 |
| | |
| Inland Container Corporation, et al., Sterner, et ux. vs. | 78 |
| | |
| Jacobs vs. Maloney, et al. | 283 |
| | |
| Katchuk, Leonard vs. | 48, 247 |
| Keller, Pennsylvania Department of Transportation vs. | 276 |
| Keller, General Motors Acceptance Corporation vs. | 241 |
| Kennedy, Commonwealth vs. | 290 |
| Kiel vs. Ditzler vs. Little, et al. | 153, 261 |
| | |
| Landis, Deardorff-Landis, et al. vs. | 65 |
| Lehman vs. York Springs Borough, et al., Descheemaeker vs. | 279 |
| Leonard vs. Katchuk | 48, 247 |
| Little, et al., Kiel vs. Ditzler vs. | 153, 261 |

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Maloney, et al., Jacobs vs. | 283 |
| Mann vs. Mann | 173 |
| Mazer, Commonwealth vs. | 299 |
| McKenna, et ux. vs. Yingling, et ux. | 159 |
| Miller, et al., Naugle, et ux. vs. | 209 |
| Monroe, Commonwealth vs. | 30 |
| Mummerth vs. Acme Engineering & Manufacturing Corporation, et al. | 139 |
| | |
| National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, | |
| PA vs. Richard Washam | 59 |
| Nationsbank of Florida, N.A. vs. Pappas | 21 |
| Nationwide Insurance Company, Sanders, et al. vs. | 27 |
| Naugle, et ux. vs. Miller, et al. | 209 |
| Nestle, et al., Becker vs. | 14 |
| Nicholas, Smith vs. | 3 |
| | |
| Olinger, Epply Income Partners, L.P. vs. | 105 |
| O'Malley, et al., St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company vs. | 101 |
| Orner, et ux. vs. Rodgers, et al. | 221, 229 |
| | |
| Pappas, Nationsbank of Florida, N.A. vs. | 21 |
| Pennsylvania Department of Transportation vs. Cromer | 11 |
| Pennsylvania Department of Transportation vs. Keller | 276 |
| Placide, Commonwealth vs. | 69 |
| Powell Estate, et al., Youngblood vs. | 1 |
| Propst, Rhoads vs. | 131 |
| | |
| Reese, Commonwealth vs. | 75 |
| Reilly, Giardullo, et al. vs. | 95 |
| Ressler et ux. vs. Spirk | 33 |
| Rhoads vs. Propst | 131 |
| Rodgers, et al., Orner, et ux. vs. | 221, 229 |
| Rohrbaugh et vir. vs. The 516 Baltimore Street Inn of Gettysburg, Inc. | 163 |
| | |
| Sanders, et al. vs. Nationwide Insurance Company | 27 |
| Shenberger vs. Shenberger | 187 |
| Singleton, Commonwealth vs. | 255 |
| Sites Realty, Inc. vs. Gettysburg Area School District | 91 |
| Smith, Harmon, et al. vs. | 72 |
| Smith vs. Brown | 287 |
| Smith vs. Nicholas | 3 |
| Smith vs. Smith | 175 |
| Spirk, Ressler et ux. vs. | 33 |

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company vs. O'Malley, et al. | 101 |
| Sternier, et ux. vs. Inland Container Corporation, et al. | 78 |
| Stillwaggon vs. Gettysburg Hospital, et al. | 37 |
| Sukeena, Commonwealth vs. | 275 |
| | |
| Taylor vs. Therit, et al. | 17 |
| Taylor, et ux. vs. Elias, et al. | 89 |
| Tess Kaelin Trucking, Commonwealth vs. | 259 |
| Therit, et al., Taylor vs. | 17 |
| Todd vs. Todd | 5 |
| Todt, Executrix, et al. vs. Batts, et al. | 305 |
| Toner, et al., Gotshall vs. | 192 |
| | |
| W. W. Babcock Company, Inc., et al., Carbajal vs. | 201 |
| Walter H. Weaber Sons, Inc., Hollabaugh Brothers, Inc. vs. | 293 |
| Washam, Richard, National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA vs. | 59 |
| | |
| Yingling, et ux., McKenna, et ux. vs. | 159 |
| York Springs Borough, et al., Descheemaeker vs. Lehman vs. | 279 |
| Youngblood vs. Powell Estate, et al. | 1 |
| | |
| Zoning Hearing Board of Conewago Township, Frederick, et ux. vs. | 53 |

INDEX

VOLUME 40 1998-1999

| | Page |
|---|------------------------|
| A | |
| ADVERSE POSSESSION | |
| woodland | 1 |
| AGENCY | |
| agent; not an insurer if no policies issued or contractual obligations assumed | 319 |
| principal; liability to third party for employee's acts in course of employment | 221 |
| std. to reach jury | 14 |
| ARBITRATION | |
| court is to determine presence of arbitration agreement | 59 |
| ATTORNEYS | |
| adverse representation to former client in matter substantially related to prior work is barred | 173 |
| confidential information; imputed to all members of firm | 173 |
| disqualification; test | 173 |
| fees, requirement to recover | 95 |
| ineffective assistance of counsel | |
| failure to call witness | 255 |
| proponent's burden | 255 |
| B | |
| BROKERS | |
| liability for misrepresentation or concealment | 123 |
| C | |
| CIVIL PROCEDURE | |
| affirmative defense raised in preliminary objection; failure to object allows court to decide | 221 |
| contracts | |
| third parties; necessity of proof of ownership of claim | 105 |
| verification; qualifications | 105 |
| written agreement inferred | 105 |
| demurrer | |
| effect | 221 |
| standard to sustain | 81, 101, 105, 123, 221 |
| indispensable partes | |
| definition | 95 |
| necessary to grant relief | 95 |
| judgment on the pleadings; standard to grant | 27, 33 |
| motion in limine; defined | 139 |
| nonsuit; standard to decide | 72 |
| pleadings; complaint must inform defendant of claim and summarize essential supporting facts | 221 |
| preliminary objections | |
| standard to sustain when dismissal of a cause of action would result | 59, 95, 251 |
| request for admissions; conclusions of law, such as negligence, outside scope | 89 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| Rule 213 | 119 |
| Rule 1019(a) | |
| incapable of precise measurement, so court has broad discretion to determine amount of detail that must be pleaded | 195 |
| requirements | 81 |
| CONSTITUTIONAL LAW | |
| 1st Amendment | |
| exceptions | 169 |
| protected speech | 169 |
| CONTRACTS | |
| breach; punitive damages inapplicable | 105 |
| damages | |
| failure to specifically enforce does not bar claim for damages | 105 |
| punitive damages inapplicable | 105 |
| enforcement | |
| parties bound by their agreements, whether fair or unfair, read or unread, understood or misunderstood | 187 |
| proponent's burden | 319 |
| extrinsic evidence admissible to show mutual mistake despite written instrument | 293 |
| indemnity; employment situation; when enforced | 153 |
| interpretation | |
| ambiguous language | |
| defined | 153 |
| parol evidence admissible | 153 |
| role of court | 105 |
| role of fact finder | 153 |
| summary judgment inapplicable | 153 |
| construed by laws at time of agreement; change | |
| in law doesn't change obligations | 131 |
| plain meaning | 33 |
| unambiguous language | |
| court's determination of clear language can differ from parties' interpretation | 153 |
| interpretation | 91 |
| interpretation is a question of law | 105, 153 |
| modification | |
| consideration | |
| defined | 131 |
| required | 131 |
| subsequent oral modification | |
| contract with "writing only" modification clause | 105 |
| contradicting written term | 105 |
| mutual mistake | |
| court may find despite one party's denial | 293 |
| extrinsic evidence admissible to show mutual mistake despite written instrument | 293 |
| post-nuptial | |
| intent derived from contract; no reference to child's emancipation does not release obligations under agreement to adult child | 131 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| pre-nuptial | |
| disclosure | |
| full and fair, not exact disclosure required | 187 |
| enforcement | |
| clause claiming, full and fair disclosure | |
| requires clear and convincing | |
| evidence of fraud or misrepresentation to overcome | 187 |
| evaluated like other contracts, so enforceable | |
| absent fraud, duress, or misrepresentation | 187 |
| releases | |
| control case | 33 |
| standard to overcome | 33 |
| settlement | |
| oral contracts | |
| enforcement | 21 |
| ambiguities; interpretation | 21 |
| res judicata, inapplicable | 23 |
| specific enforcement; failure to do so does not bar claim | |
| for damages | 105 |
| third-party beneficiary | |
| beneficiary needs original parties' consent to modify | 131 |
| promisee can also enforce | 131 |
| third party must prove ownership of claim | 105 |
| written agreement inferred | 105 |
| CO-TENANCY | |
| adverse possession | 1 |
| CRIMINAL LAW | |
| accomplice liability; standard | 299 |
| attorneys; ineffective assistance of counsel | |
| failure to call witness | 255 |
| proponent's burden | 255 |
| conspiracy | |
| circumstantial evidence may support guilt | 191, 299 |
| defined | 299 |
| guilty plea | |
| sufficiency of colloquy | 30 |
| voluntariness | |
| withdrawal (post-sentence); standard | 255 |
| withdrawal (pre-sentence) | |
| acceptance is discretionary with court | 325 |
| defendant's burden | 325 |
| requires more than mere assertion of | |
| innocence if after extensive | |
| colloquy and express admission | |
| of guilt | 281, 290 |
| standard | 255 |
| inconsistent verdicts; do not allow relief | 299 |
| insufficient evidence; standard | 299 |
| merger; conviction on lesser-included charge, deadlock | |
| on greater offenses | 75 |
| nolo contendere plea; waives all defects and defenses except of | |
| court's jurisdiction, legality of sentence, and validity of plea ... | 255 |

| | |
|--|------------------------|
| Post-Conviction Review Act | |
| guilty plea | |
| sufficiency of colloquy | 30 |
| voluntariness | 30 |
| ineffective assistance of counsel; failure to appeal | 69 |
| purpose | 69 |
| receiving stolen property; elements | 299 |
| CUSTODY | |
| Domestic Relations Act | |
| grandparent's standing | 65 |
| no presumption in favor of parents | 65 |
| D | |
| DAMAGES | |
| contracts; measure for part performance | 3 |
| jury's role to assess; court's oversight function | 37 |
| lost economic horizons; evidence of permanent injury | |
| sufficient to reach jury | 139 |
| punitive | |
| contracts; inapplicable | 105 |
| extreme conduct required | 123 |
| fraud | 105, 123 |
| insurance co.; 42 Pa. C. S. A. Section 8371; | |
| outrageous conduct not required | 27 |
| preliminary objections; entitlement generally not | |
| determinable in ruling upon | 195 |
| Statute of Frauds does not preclude claim | 105 |
| DEMURRER | |
| effect | 221 |
| standard to sustain | 81, 101, 105, 123, 221 |
| DIVORCE | |
| alimony pendente lite | |
| amount; guidelines presumed valid; standard overcome | 175 |
| duration | 175 |
| purpose | 175 |
| standard to grant | 175 |
| alimony; reimbursement alimony; factors | 5 |
| equitable distribution | |
| attorney fees; standard to award | 175 |
| factors | 5 |
| pensions; portion earned between marriage and | |
| separation is marital property | 175 |
| termination | 5 |
| master's report | |
| credibility findings upheld absent abuse of discretion | 175 |
| not binding on court | 5, 175 |
| post-nuptial | |
| intent derived from contract; no reference to child's | |
| emancipation does not release obligations under | |
| agreement to adult child. | 131 |
| pre-nuptial agreement | |
| clause claiming full and fair disclosure requires clear and | |
| convincing evidence of fraud, or misrepresentation to | |
| overcome | 187 |

| | |
|---|----------|
| evaluated like other contracts; enforceable absent | |
| fraud, duress, or misrepresentation | 187 |
| full and fair, not exact, disclosure required | 187 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS ACT | |
| 23 Pa.C.S.A. Section 5313(b) | 65 |
| grandparents' standing | 65 |
| DRIVER'S LICENSE—SEE MOTOR VEHICLES | |
| E | |
| EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE | |
| agency; standard to reach jury | 14 |
| employer's liability | |
| employee's special mission | 14 |
| employee's use of own car | 14 |
| injury to third party | 14 |
| respondeat superior; standard | 14 |
| EQUITY | |
| equitable and legal claims in same action; court will | |
| adjudicate in one proceeding | 209 |
| specific performance; plaintiff's burden | 105, 319 |
| EVIDENCE | |
| bad faith; insurance company; plaintiff's burden | 27 |
| evidence intended to contradict; standard to admit | 192 |
| habit or custom; sufficiency | 192 |
| inference against evidence not produced but in control | |
| of party | 37 |
| F | |
| FIDUCIARIES | |
| confidential relationship | |
| defined | 309 |
| transaction between parties presumed voidable; | |
| standard to overcome | 309 |
| FRAUD | |
| elements | 123, 221 |
| real property; failure to inform of serious and dangerous | |
| latent defect | 221 |
| supports punitive damages | 123 |
| I | |
| INDEMNITY | |
| employment situations; when enforced | 153 |
| INSURANCE | |
| broker's liability for defective insurance | 17 |
| INSURANCE COMPANIES | |
| 42 Pa. C. S. A Section 8371; standard; outrageous conduct | |
| not required | 27 |
| bad faith | |
| defined | 27 |
| plaintiff's burden | 27 |

J

JUDGMENT
any party may move 23

JUDGMENT N.O.V.
factors for court 3

JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS
similar to demurrer; standard to grant 209
statute of limitations a question of law 209

JURY INSTRUCTIONS
court not required to repeat requested instruction as long
as point has been covered 37

L

LACHES
elements 95

LANDLORD-TENANT
lessor retaining possession and control; liability for hazard 261
lessor retaining possession and control; liability for hazard;
exceptions 261

LICENSES
torts; licensee's awareness of risk 72

M

MANDAMUS
defined 265
proponent's burden; elements 265

MARRIAGE
common law; elements 175
meretricious; clear evidence of change in status required
to overcome presumption of non-marriage after
removal of impediment 175

MASTER-SERVANT
employee's special mission 14
master's liability
employee's use of own car on job 14
injury to third party 14
respondeat superior; standard 14

MECHANIC'S LIENS
statute strictly interpreted as derogation of common law 283
subcontractors; substantial amount paid; requirements 283

MINORS
appreciation of risk 72

MOTOR VEHICLES
chemical test refusal
Commonwealth's burden 11
failure to heed warning 11
implied 11
motorist's burden 11
standard 11
clear distance; sudden emergency avoids fault 305

| | |
|---|-----|
| driver's license; suspension/revocation for failure to submit to testing | |
| acceptance of test allows modification or refusal to sign hospital consent form | 276 |
| Commonwealth's burden | 275 |
| refusal to sign a hospital consent form not necessarily refusal of test | 275 |
| refusal to submit to test waives claim over hospital consent form | 276 |
| illegal parking; prima facie case; standard to rebut | 259 |
| MUNICIPAL AUTHORITIES | |
| transfer to township; prerequisites | 265 |

N

| | |
|---|-----|
| NEGLIGENCE | |
| concurrent vs. supercessive | |
| jury's role | 119 |
| test | 119 |
| contributory negligence vs. assumption of risk; defined | 139 |
| evidence | |
| doctor can establish standard of nursing care | 37 |
| prior negligent acts inadmissible | 192 |
| gross negligence; defined | 319 |
| indemnity; employment situation; when enforced | 153 |
| liability despite victim's awareness of hazard; exceptions | 261 |
| strict liability | |
| assumption of risk a valid defense | 139 |
| inapplicable to strict liability action | 139 |
| requirements to reach jury | 139 |
| violation of statute is negligence per se and causation and foreseeability are normally jury issues | 305 |
| NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION | |
| compared to intentional misrepresentation | 221 |

NON PROS

| | |
|---|---------------|
| delay exceeding two years | |
| modest settlement negotiations do not excuse | |
| docket inactivity | 197 |
| presumed prejudicial | 197, 209 |
| plaintiff can not rebut same | |
| by showing no actual prejudice; however, plaintiff may show defendant intentionally acted to further delay case | 241 |
| diligence | |
| despite others' delay | 241 |
| plaintiff's burden to move case to trial | 197 |
| plaintiff may show diligence with non-docket activity, even if docket inactivity exceeds two years | 197 |
| proponent may lose protection if willing to try case on merits | 209 |
| standard | 197, 209, 241 |

P

PARENT-CHILD

| | |
|---|-------------|
| parent may contract to provide for child's post-secondary education despite not legal duty to do so | 131 |
| PHYSICIANS | |
| duty to the injured | 37 |
| POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS | |
| municipal board; exercise of governmental, not proprietary authority; board can take no action which will bind its successors | 265 |
| streets; implied acceptance; requirements | 279 |
| POLITICAL SUBDIVISION TORT CLAIM ACT | |
| 42 Pa.C.S.A. Section 8542(b); exceptions to immunity | 221 |
| boroughs | 81 |
| employee's liability; exceptions | 221 |
| negligent infliction of emotional distress; claim permitted | 81 |
| volunteer fire companies | 81 |
| PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION | |
| harm must be irreparable, which is conjectural and not entirely ascertainable and compensable by | |
| money damages | 159 |
| movant not required to establish claim absolutely | 159 |
| plaintiff's burden | 159 |
| purpose | 159 |
| standard to grant | 159 |
| PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS | |
| extra evidence required to decide | 62 |
| necessity of complete record | 62 |
| standard to sustain when dismissal of a cause of action would result | 59, 95, 251 |
| PRODUCT LIABILITY | |
| manufacturer an insurer, not a guarantor, of product | 201 |
| Restatement (2nd) of Torts 402A does not impose | |
| absolute liability | 201 |
| types of defects | 201 |
| warnings | |
| insufficiency a question of law prior to submitting claim to jury to determine facts | 201 |
| not required if dangers known to those who ordinarily use product | 201 |
| required only for non-obvious dangers inherent in product's use | 201 |
| PROPERTY | |
| abandonment | 5 |
| adverse possession; woodland | 1 |
| R | |
| REAL PROPERTY | |
| duty to inform of serious and latent defect; failure may support fraud action | 221 |
| hazard; obvious vs. unknown usually a jury question unless reasonable minds could not disagree | 163 |
| possessors duty to invitees | 163 |
| transitory conditions; possessors liability | 163 |
| RECREATIONAL USE OF LAND AND WATER ACT | |
| inapplicable to mostly unimproved and free-use land | 81 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| RELEASES | |
| control case | 33 |
| standard to overcome | 33 |
| REMITTITUR | |
| amount | 37 |
| where appropriate | 37 |
| RES JUDICATA | |
| defined | 23 |
| purpose | 23 |
| where inappropriate | 23 |
| RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR | |
| employee's special mission | 14 |
| standard | 14 |
| RESTATEMENT (2ND) OF TORTS | |
| Section 388; duty to warn depends on ordinary consumer's knowledge of inherent risks | 201 |
| Section 402A; does not impose absolute liability | 201 |
| | S |
| SETTLEMENT | |
| oral agreement | |
| enforcement | 21 |
| interpretation of ambiguity | 21 |
| setting aside | 21 |
| res judicata not present | 23 |
| STATUTE OF FRAUDS | |
| availability | 105 |
| damages | |
| does not preclude claim for damages | 105 |
| inapplicable to damages | 105 |
| does not void oral contracts relating to land even though the contract may not be specifically enforced | 105 |
| exception if purchasers took possession of realty and made improvements not readily compensable, in money | 153 |
| STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS | |
| affirmative defense; plaintiff's burden once raised | 48 |
| presence in or out of Commonwealth | 48 |
| Statute of Response; difference | 78 |
| tolling | |
| acknowledgment of debt tolls | 48 |
| foreign defendant; service upon does not toll | 48 |
| not tolled if plaintiff knows defendant's out-of-state address and able to serve him there | 247 |
| question of law | 209 |
| STATUTE OF RESPONSE | |
| 42 Pa. C. S. A. 5536(a) | |
| bars indemnification and contribution | 78 |
| elements | 78 |
| Statute of Limitations; difference | 78 |

STATUTORY INTERPRETATION

object is to ascertain and effectuate legislative intent 251

STRICT LIABILITY

assumption of risk a valid defense 139

defendant may show plaintiff voluntarily assumed the risk,
was highly reckless, or misused product 139

negligence concepts inapplicable 139

product liability; policy 139

Restatement (2d) of Torts Section 402A does not impose
absolute liability 201

SUMMARY JUDGMENT

any party may move 48

contract interpretation; motion inappropriate if language
unclear 153

court's function 17

defendant's burden 48,287

non-movant's burden 287

standard to grant 14, 23, 89, 91,
153, 163, 201,
201, 209, 261, 287

unavailable

if proponent relies exclusively upon oral affidavits or
depositions unless admissions by other side 247

if proponent relies upon oral testimony 305

SUPPORT

child

agreements not to seek child support void 131

expenses; obligor's reasonable expenses already
factored into guidelines; court should not
consider them again 244

voluntary contributions do not reduce obligation
to spouse 244

T

TORTS

abuse of process

defined 101

elements 101

vs. malicious use of process 101

awareness of risk

licensee 72

minor 72

concurring cause; original tort-feasor not exonerated

from liability 119

malicious use of process vs. abuse of process 101

negligence

defenses; assumption of risk; generally not a
question of law 201

request for admissions 89

negligent infliction of emotional distress; Political

Subdivision Tort Claim Act 81

Political Subdivision Tort Claim Act; negligent infliction

of emotional distress 81

punitive damages require extreme conduct 123

X

| | |
|---|-----|
| Restatement (2nd) of Torts | |
| Section 342 | 72 |
| Section 388; duty to warn depends on ordinary consumer's knowledge of inherent risks | 201 |
| Section 402A; does not impose absolute liability | 201 |
| Section 519; inapplicable to fireworks | 81 |
| slip and fall; special rules due to hemispheric climactic conditions | 163 |
| ultra-hazardous activity; Restatement (2nd) of Torts | |
| Section 519; inapplicable to fireworks | 81 |
| wrongful use of process; elements | 101 |

W

WITNESSES

| | |
|--|-----|
| contradiction; party may only contradict witness on germane issue | 192 |
| expert | |
| defined | 37 |
| disclosure; requirement to disclose upon request to opponent substance of facts and opinions of expected testimony | 139 |
| opinion on cause of injury | 139 |
| opinion testimony; limitations | 139 |
| party; may testify as to own but not co-defendant's negligence | 37 |
| PA has liberal standard to qualify | 37 |
| when appropriate | 139 |
| lay; opinion on speed- | 47 |
| lay; opinion on speed; foundation | 47 |

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION ACT

| | |
|---|-----|
| indemnity contract; employer's waiver of Act's protection | 153 |
|---|-----|

Z

ZONING

| | |
|---|----|
| appeal from Zoning Hearing Board; court's standard of review if no additional evidence | 53 |
| commercial vehicles at residential dwelling | 53 |